

Effects of substituting different percentages of Azolla and duckweed as a partial substitute for soybean meal in feeding common carp fish (*Cyprinus carpio* L.)

Mustafa J. Kamil*, Nidhal T. Taha

Department of Animal Production, College of Agriculture and Forestry, Mosul University, Iraq

* Corresponding author's Email: mostafajamal1463@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The Azolla and duckweed and their mixture are one of the most important alternatives to feed in fish diets because they contain high levels of proteins and other nutrients and their role in improving production efficiency (2.5 and 5%, respectively), as well as their effects on the growth parameters of common carp during the experimental period (49 days). The experiment included feeding carp using seven experimental diets containing Azolla, duckweed and the mixture. The results of the statistical analysis indicated a significant superiority ($P \leq 0.05$) for the seventh treatment (the mixture 5%) for each of the characteristics of final weight, total increase, daily increase, the relative growth, and the specific growth rate compared to the control diet and the rest of the other treatments. A significant superiority of the same seventh treatment (5% mixture) was observed on the amount of feed intake and the food efficiency ratio compared to the control group and the rest of the treatments. The seventh treatment also recorded a significant increase in the rate of protein intake and the percentage of protein efficiency compared to the control and the rest of the treatments. The protein deposited rate and the protein produced value in the second treatment (Azolla 2.5%) were significantly superior ($P \leq 0.05$) in fish compared with the control and the rest of the treatments. It reached 7.25 g fish^{-1} and 58.70% respectively. The results of the statistical analysis of the chemical composition of the fish body after being fed on different experimental diets in the proportion of protein showed that there were significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between the treatments, where the proportion of protein in the seventh treatment (the mixture 5%) increased significantly over the control and the rest of the treatments and amounted to 19.87%. There were no significant differences in the percentages of fat and ash among all treatments except for the control in the percentage of ash, which recorded a significant decrease compared to the rest of the treatments, amounted 1.89%. It is concluded that the mixture (Azolla and duckweed) can be used at a rate of 5% as a substitute for soybeans as the best growth criterion.

Keywords: Azolla, Soybean meal, duckweed, Ration, *Cyprinus carpio* L.

Article type: Research Article.

INTRODUCTION

Most countries of the world are interested in providing animal products and securing them for the consumer to provide food security for their countries, including fisheries products, which are of high nutritional value because they provide a cheap source of animal protein (Hassan & Hashem 2016). Since it is an important source of mineral elements, vitamins, essential amino acids, and fatty acids (Mohanty *et al.* 2017), it has aided the global prosperity of the aquaculture sector, accounting for 51% of total production (Abdul Hamid 2019). The most important farmed fish is the common carp, *Cyprinus carpio* L., which is distinguished by its high production rates, rapid growth, resistance to difficult environmental conditions, ease of cultivation, and provision of its requirements. It is a model for fish to be farmed on a commercial scale (Gupta *et al.* 2005). To date, it represented 8% of the total global production of fish species, produced by fish farming projects (World Food Organization, FAO 2018). Fish feed is critical to the aquaculture industry's development (Dorothy *et al.* 2018). Azolla and duckweed are high-protein

Table 2. Chemical composition (%) of the experimental diets on the basis of dry weight with replacement ratios (2.5 and 5%) for each azolla, duckweed plants, and their combination.

Experimental rations Ingredients used	T ₁ Control	T ₂ Azolla (2.5%)	T ₃ Azolla (5%)	T ₄ Duckweed (2.5%)	T ₅ Duckweed (5%)	T ₆ Mixture (2.5%)	T ₇ Mixture (5%)
Raw protein	25.60	25.88	26.74	26.81	26.55	26.91	26.94
Raw fiber	4.70	4.65	4.79	4.52	4.89	4.71	4.16
Raw fat	4.10	5.84	6.92	5.05	5.41	6.12	6.63
Ash	6.97	6.47	6.82	6.10	7.04	6.87	6.56
Humidity	7.20	6.56	7.11	6.84	7.02	6.82	7.22
Nitrogen free extract (NFE)	51.43	50.6	47.62	50.68	49.09	48.57	48.49
*Metabolic energy (MJ kg ⁻¹)	13.28	13.8	13.91	13.72	13.57	13.81	13.97

*Metabolic energy was calculated based on Smith (1971) equation: ME (MJ Kg⁻¹) = Protein × 18.8 + Fat × 33.5 + NFE × 13.8.

Methods of measuring the growth parameters of experimental fish

The criteria for measuring fish growth were adopted to show the effects of substituting azolla and duckweed and their mixture as a partial substitute for soybean meal on its growth, represented by:

Total weight gain (g fish⁻¹) = Final weight (g) – Initial weight (g) (Pitcher & Hart 1982)

$$\text{Daily weight gain (g fish}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Final weight - Initial weight}}{\text{Number of days}}$$

$$\text{Daily growth rate (g fish}^{-1}\text{ day}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Weight gain (g)}}{\text{Duration of experiment (days)}}$$

$$\text{Relative growth rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Final weight (g) - Initial weight (g)}}{\text{Initial weight (g fish}^{-1}\text{)}} \text{ (Uten, 1978)}$$

$$\text{Specific growth rate} = \frac{\text{Log final weight (g) - Log initial weight (g)}}{\text{Duration of experiment (days)}} \text{ (Jobling & Koskela 1996)}$$

$$\text{Feed conversion ratio} = \frac{\text{Feed consumption (g)}}{\text{Total weight gain (g)}} \text{ (Uten 1978)}$$

$$\text{Feed efficiency ratio (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total weight gain (g)}}{\text{Feed consumption (g)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Protein efficiency ratio} = \frac{\text{Total weight gain (g)}}{\text{Protein intake (g)}} \text{ (Gerking 1971)}$$

$$\text{Protein productive value (\%)} = \frac{\text{Protein gain (g)}}{\text{Protein intake (g)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Protein intake} = \text{feed intake (g)} \times \% \text{ crude protein} \text{ (Jobling and Koskela 1996)}$$

$$\text{Protein gain (g)} = \text{Body protein final of experiment (g)} - \text{Body protein initial of experiment (g)}^*$$

Precipitated protein = % The crude protein in the fish's body for final weight - % The crude protein in the fish's body for the initial weight

Chemical analyzes of fish growth experiment

The estimation of the components (protein, fat, ash, moisture and nitrogen-free extract) present in the dry matter of the edible part of the fish body was carried out on the basis of dry weight based on the approved standard methods AOAC (2000) in estimating each of the protein, fat, ash and moisture, and calculating the free extract nitrogen is mathematically by the difference method, as shown in Wee & Shu (1989) and as follows:

Dissolved carbohydrates = $100 - [\text{crude protein (\%)} + \text{fat (\%)} + \text{ash (\%)} + \text{fiber (\%)} + \text{moisture (\%)}]$.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed statistically using complete randomized design (CRD) by statistical package for social science (SPSS, 2001) in analyzing the effect of experimental treatments and testing significant differences between mean characteristics attained by Duncan's multiple rang test (Duncan 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Criteria for growth, weight gain, growth rate, relative and qualitative growth:

The results of the statistical analysis showed that there were significant differences ($P < 0.05$) and the final average weight for T₇ (the mixture 5%) was 52.95 g compared to the rest of the treatments. It was noted that there were no significant differences between T₂, T₃ and T₄ respectively. T₆ (mixture 2.5%) exhibited the lowest average weight and reached 42.77 g. The results of the statistical analysis also showed that there was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in the rate of total and daily weight gain. T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₇ outperformed T₁ (control) and the best was for T₇ since the rate of increase was 5% with a total weight of 34.19 g fish⁻¹ and a daily weight increase of 1.08 g fish⁻¹ on average. The results of the relative growth showed that there were no significant differences between T₂, T₃ and T₄ respectively, while T₇ was significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$) amounting to 182.23% and was higher than T₁ (control) and T₆. The results of the specific growth rate showed that there were significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) between T₇ compared to the control and the rest of the treatments, which amounted to 2.11%. There were also no significant differences between T₂, T₃ and T₄ respectively (Table 3). Al-Araji (2020) reported higher values of final weight as well as total and daily increase in feeding common carp once using Azolla plant with substitution ratios of 2.5 and 5%, respectively, as a substitute for soybeans, which is consistent with the current study's findings. Mohapatra *et al.* (2013) indicated that the best growth rate and weight gain for fingerlings of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) when replacing duckweed plant (*Lemna minor*) instead of fishmeal at percentages of 0% and 15%, but a decrease in the rate of growth and weight gain when using duckweed by 45%. This is in agreement with Asimi *et al.* (2018) who replaced duckweed by 15% and reached better results for growth and weight gain compared to higher inclusion levels (30% and 45%). It was observed in our current study that increasing the proportion of azolla substitution for more than 5% in the experimental diets of common carp may lead to a decrease in the rate of weight gain and growth rate similar to results obtained by Sahu (2006). The decrease in growth due to the increase in the concentration of Azolla in the diets is due to the imbalance of amino acid composition in Azolla protein because it is high in methionine and lysine compared to soybean meal, meat and bone meal (Das *et al.* 2018). The results of our research in Table 3 agreed with Herawati *et al.* (2020) in feeding tilapia fish on duckweed at a rate of 2.5% instead of soybeans, which exhibited the best growth performance for fish and gave the highest total weight gain of 33.03 g fish⁻¹. The highest relative growth rate was 2.01% because the plant contains a high percentage of the amino acids such as lysine and arginine acid in higher proportions than soybeans. This also is in agreement with results of Aslam *et al.* (2017) who reported significant rise in the final weight gain of fingerlings of grass carp fish. In a diet containing water lentils, it amounted to 15.84 g, compared to the feeding diet of soybeans (12.63 g). The results of the statistical analysis showed an increase in the values of growth criteria for fish in T₇ compared to the rest of treatments because Azolla and duckweed contain high levels of protein and essential amino acids such as lysine and methionine, while the low rate (%) of fibers that makes them more useful in feeding carp. It provides it with all its needs of essential amino acids, and this is inline with results of Hugel (2020) on azolla and water lentils in feeding fish.

Food intake, feed conversion ratio, and feed efficiency ratio

The criteria for benefiting from food included the food intake, the food conversion factor and the food efficiency ratio, and a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) was noted in the food intake rate and the food efficiency ratio T₇ (5%

mixture), which reached 51.13 g fish⁻¹ and 70.86%, respectively, compared to T₁ (control; 47.23 g fish⁻¹ and 59.35%), and also T₂ (Azolla 2.5%; amounting to 43.63 g fish⁻¹ and 46.31% respectively). Also, significant difference ($p < 0.05$) was found between T₃ (Azolla 5%; amounting to 2.19) and all other treatments (Table 4).

Table 3. Effect of substituting different levels of azolla, duckweed and their mixture on common carp growth parameters, weight gain, growth rate, percentage growth, and specific growth.

Studied criteria	Initial weight	Final weight	Total gain weight	Daily increase	Percentage growth	Specific growth rate
Treatments	(g fish ⁻¹)	%				
Control (1)	a 18.68 ± 0.02	b 46.74 ± 1.92	c 28.06 ± 1.91	c 0.95 ± 0.04	c 150.2 ± 10.1	c 1.87 ± 0.08
Azolla (2.5%) (2)	a 18.67 ± 0.1	ab 50.1 ± 0.61	ab 31.42 ± 0.51	ab 1.02 ± 0.01	ab 168.07 ± 1.81	ab 2.01 ± 0.01
Azolla (5%) (3)	a 18.86 ± 0.12	ab 51.34 ± 0.72	ab 32.74 ± 0.60	ab 1.04 ± 0.01	ab 172.12 ± 2.08	ab 2.04 ± 0.01
Duckweed (2.5%) (4)	a 18.69 ± 0.1	ab 51.2 ± 2.10	ab 32.47 ± 2.21	ab 1.08 ± 0.04	ab 174.12 ± 12.75	ab 2.05 ± 0.09
Duckweed (5%) (5)	a 18.85 ± 0.05	bc 47.53 ± 0.65	bc 28.66 ± 0.70	bc 0.95 ± 0.01	bc 151.89 ± 4.10	bc 1.88 ± 0.03
Mixture (2.5%) (6)	a 18.64 ± 0.08	c 42.77 ± 0.15	c 24.08 ± 0.06	c 0.87 ± 0.004	c 128.93 ± 0.35	c 1.69 ± 0.02
Mixture (5%) (7)	a 18.76 ± 0.16	a 52.95 ± 0.60	a 34.19 ± 0.44	a 1.08 ± 0.01	a 182.23 ± 0.79	a 2.11 ± 0.06

*The various letters within the single column of the studied trait indicate significant differences at probability level ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 4. Effect of substituting different levels of Azolla, duckweed and mixture (Azolla and duckweed) on food intake parameters, feed conversion factor and food efficiency ratio for common carp (mean ± standard error).

Studied criteria	Food intake	Feed conversion ratio	Feed efficiency ratio
Treatments	(g fish ⁻¹)		%
Control (1)	b 47.23 ± 0.86	b 1.68 ± 0.08	b 59.35 ± 2.96
(2.5%) Azolla (2)	c 43.63 ± 2.14	b 1.64 ± 0.11	c 46.31 ± 6.03
(5%) Azolla (3)	ab 48.17 ± 0.68	a 2.19 ± 0.28	ab 61.32 ± 4.20
Duckweed (2.5%) (4)	ab 48.77 ± 0.51	b 1.58 ± 0.10	ab 63.61 ± 4.10
Duckweed (5%) (5)	b 46.71 ± 0.25	b 1.62 ± 0.002	ab 61.54 ± 0.06
Mixture (2.5%) (6)	ab 48.08 ± 0.77	b 1.44 ± 0.45	ab 69.26 ± 2.05
Mixture (5%) (7)	a 51.13 ± 0.30	b 1.41 ± 0.05	a 70.86 ± 2.82

The various letters within the single column of the studied trait indicate significant differences at probability level ($P \leq 0.05$).

The results of the statistical analysis showed an increase in the values of the feed ration in T₇ in the quantity of feed supplied and the food efficiency ratio compared to the rest of treatments, because Azolla and duckweed contain high levels of protein and essential amino acids such as lysine and methionine, and the lack of fiber makes

them more useful in feeding carp. This is in agreement with Hugel (2020) who worked on Azolla and water lentils in feeding fish. Asimi *et al.* (2018) reported a decrease in the feed conversion factor of duckweed compared with the control diet in the feeding of common carp, when different percentages of water lentils were replaced in the diets as a partial substitute for fish meal. The ratios of the feed conversion factor of water lentil and control diet were 2.95 and 2.45 respectively. The high food conversion factor due to the upraised concentration of Azolla compared to the diets free of Azolla could be explained by the presence of dietary fibers and ash. The highest percentage of the food conversion factor of Nile tilapia (4.2) fed on diets enriched with dried Azolla (*A. pinnata*) was at a level of 25% (Abdel-Tawwab 2008). When high levels of Azolla are included, the digestibility and consumption of feed are deteriorated by affecting the activity of digestive enzymes, and thus growth performance correlates with improved nutrient digestibility and feeding efficiency (Hong *et al.* 2004; Sithara & Kamalaveni 2008).

Protein intake, protein efficiency ratio, precipitated protein, and protein productive value:

The results of the statistical analysis indicated that there were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between the T₇, which was significantly higher compared to T₁ (control), and their values were 13.09 and 11.95 (g fish⁻¹), respectively (Table 5). There were no significant differences for the ingested protein between T₂, T₃ and T₄, T₅ and T₆, respectively, while the results of the statistical analysis in the percentage of protein efficiency showed a significant difference in T₇ (amounted to 2.77) compared to T₁ (control), which exhibited less value (2.31). The precipitated protein gave a significant superiority ($p < 0.05$) in T₂ (Azolla 2.5%) and T₆ (mixture 2.5%), which amounted to 7.25 and 6.58 g fish⁻¹ respectively, compared to T₁ (control). There were also no significant differences between T₃, T₄, T₅ and T₇, respectively. In the case of the protein-producing value, significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were found in T₂ (58.70%), compared to the rest of treatments (Table 5). Al-Araji (2020) reported a significant increase in the value of the precipitated protein and the protein-producing value of carp fed on Azolla 2.5%, where their value reached 1.42 g fish⁻¹ and 25.78%, respectively, in agreement with the results of our study. The current results showed the high values of protein intake and the protein efficiency ratio in T₇ compared to the rest of the experimental diets, since Azolla and duckweed contain high levels of protein and essential amino acids such as lysine and methionine and a low percentage of fiber, which makes them more useful in feeding carp. These items give fish all needs in essential amino acids, and this is what Hugel (2020) agreed upon in his study on Azolla and duckweed in feeding carp.

Table 5. Effect of substitution of different levels of Azolla, duckweed and their mixture (Azolla and duckweed) on the parameters of the protein intake, protein efficiency ratio, precipitated protein, and protein productive value of common carp (mean \pm standard error).

Studied criteria	Protein intake (g fish ⁻¹)	Protein efficiency ratio	Precipitated protein (g fish ⁻¹)	protein productive value (%)
Treatments				
Control	b	b	bc	a
(1)	11.95 \pm 0.22	2.31 \pm 0.11	4.93 \pm 0.11	40.80 \pm 0.21
(2.5%) Azolla	ab	ab	a	a
(2)	12.36 \pm 0.03	2.56 \pm 0.04	7.25 \pm 0.44	58.70 \pm 3.75
(5%) Azolla	ab	ab	ab	a
(3)	12.33 \pm 0.17	2.39 \pm 0.16	5.80 \pm 0.21	47.03 \pm 1.06
Duckweed (2.5%)	ab	ab	ab	b
(4)	12.48 \pm 0.13	2.48 \pm 0.16	5.93 \pm 0.69	47.44 \pm 5.01
Duckweed (5%)	ab	ab	ab	b
(5)	12.59 \pm 0.21	2.64 \pm 0.11	6.25 \pm 0.72	49.52 \pm 4.48
Mixture (2.5%)	ab	ab	a	ab
(6)	12.31 \pm 0.20	2.71 \pm 0.08	6.58 \pm 0.29	53.44 \pm 1.48
Mixture (5%)	a	a	ab	b c d
(7)	13.09 \pm 0.08	2.77 \pm 0.11	6.04 \pm 0.52	46.12 \pm 3.72

The various letters within the single column of the studied trait indicate significant differences at probability level ($P \leq 0.05$).

Velásquez-Cruz *et al.* (2014) observed a significant increase in the protein efficiency ratio when feeding piranha (*Piaractus brachypterus*) on rations containing Azolla (*Azolla filiculoides*) and Duckweed (*Spirodela polyrhiza*) by 15% and their value was 2.78 and 2.66, respectively, compared to the control diet (2.35). This is due to the high levels of protein and essential amino acids in Azolla and duckweed. Increasing the concentration of Azolla above 10% with an accompanying decrease in soybean meal lead to a deficiency of essential amino acids and thus

a decrease in protein quality. Santiago *et al.* (1988) and Olvera-Novoa *et al.* (1990) reported that this phenomenon is similar to using alfalfa and *Azolla pinnata* in tilapia diets. This is in agreement with the results of Fasakin & Balogun (1998) about the decreased efficiency of protein with an upraised amount of *Azolla* in the feeding ration of *Clarias gariepinus*.

The chemical composition of the edible part of the fish

The results of the statistical analysis of the chemical composition of the fish muscle in the percentage of protein showed that there were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between the treatments, where the percentage of protein in T₇ increased significantly over the rest of the treatments and amounted to 19.87%. No significant differences were found in protein ratios in T₂, T₃ and T₆ respectively. There were also no significant differences in fat and ash ratios among all treatments except for T₁ in ash ratio, which recorded a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) compared to the rest of the treatments (amounted to 1.89%). Furthermore, the moisture percentage recorded a significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) in T₇ compared to the rest of the other treatments (amounted to 69.22%; as shown in Table 6. Our results in Table 6 indicate an increase in the protein content in the body of carp in T₇, as a result of the *Azolla* and water lentils containing a good percentage of protein, as well as an increase in the amino acids levels of lysine and methionine, which makes them easy to accept and palatable by the fish. This is in agreement with Hugel (2020) about *Azolla* and duckweed in feeding fish. Antoine *et al.* (1986) noted that when feeding *O. niloticus* and *C. melanorum* on *Azolla*, they had high moisture content and low body fat concentrations. El-Sayed (1992) explained that body protein and fat were negatively associated with high levels of *Azolla* in fish feed rations, while the association was positive for ash and body moisture, and this contradicts the data of crude body protein in our current study. El-Sayed (1992) agrees with regard to fat and ash content and body moisture, and between Micha *et al.* (1988) that adding *Azolla* at increased levels to fish diets of *O. niloticus* and *T. rendalli* led to a decrease in body fat percentage for both species and no effect in crude protein content. Das (2018) reported that once adding different levels of *Azolla* to the diet of *Barbonymus gonionotus* fish from Thai silver, the crude fat, protein and ash displayed a negative relationship with the elevation in the concentration of *Azolla* in the diet and moisture. Yalmiz *et al.* (2004) noticed a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in the muscle protein (%) of common carp when fed with 20% duckweed compared to other treatments. The protein percentage was 16.60%, while the fat content was high for fish fed with 10 and 15%, duckweed respectively. A significant decrease in ash (%) in treatments fed on duckweed was recorded by 10% (Yalmiz *et al.* 2004).

Table 6. Effects of substitution of different proportions of *Azolla* and duckweed and their mixture (%) on the chemical composition of the edible portion of common carp (mean \pm standard error).

Studied criteria	Treatments								
	Before the experiment	Control (1)	<i>Azolla</i> (2.5%) (2)	<i>Azolla</i> (5%) (3)	<i>Duckweed</i> (2.5%) (4)	<i>Duckweed</i> (5%) (5)	Mixture (2.5%) (6)	Mixture (5%) (7)	
Crude protein %	16.33 \pm 0.13	b 17.10 \pm 0.40	ab 17.86 \pm 1.05	ab 18.84 \pm 0.90	b 16.41 \pm 0.29	b 16.50 \pm 0.85	ab 18.00 \pm 0.88	a 19.87 \pm 0.71	
Raw fat %	6.91 \pm 0.06	a 7.79 \pm 1.41	a 7.22 \pm 0.72	a 7.14 \pm 0.97	a 7.85 \pm 0.31	a 7.17 \pm 0.19	a 8.24 \pm 0.70	a 7.68 \pm 0.43	
Ash %	3.53 \pm 0.03	b 1.89 \pm 0.002	a 3.65 \pm 0.08	a 3.53 \pm 0.36	a 3.61 \pm 0.13	a 3.36 \pm 0.12	a 3.71 \pm 0.01	a 3.23 \pm 0.12	
Humidity %	73.94 \pm 0.04	ab 73.22 \pm 1.00	b c d 71.26 \pm 0.41	cd 70.45 \pm 0.29	a b c 72.11 \pm 0.74	ab 72.69 \pm 1.18	cd 70.04 \pm 0.18	c 69.22 \pm 0.19	
Dry matter %	26.06 \pm 0.04	bc 26.78 \pm 0.04	a b c 28.73 \pm 0.41	ab 29.54 \pm 0.29	b c d 27.88 \pm 0.74	bc 27.31 \pm 1.17	ab 29.95 \pm 0.18	a 30.78 \pm 0.19	

*The different letters within the same row of the studied trait indicate significant differences at the probability level ($P \leq 0.05$).

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from this study that the addition of the mixture (Azolla and duckweed) by 5% in the diet of carp exhibited a positive effect on the growth parameters. It was observed that the fish benefited from a mixture of 5% Azolla and duckweed by improving the lumen of the digestive system and thus enhancing the values of feed intake, the food efficiency ratio and the food conversion factor. The mixture (5%) exhibited an increase in the protein intake and the efficiency of the protein, because the Azolla and duckweed are considered as a good and inexpensive sources of protein compared to other protein sources that are expensive because of import and are not produced locally. The productivities of the Azolla plant and duckweed are characterized by their high production of biomass and also tolerance to different environmental conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and supervisor "Dr. Nidhal Tahseen Taha" for his helper advices, meticulous supervision, and guidance throughout the execution of this study. My special thanks and gratitude to my parent and their continued support in life, my beloved wife, all members of my University of Mosul/Collage of Agriculture and Forestry and all my friends.

REFERENCES

- AOAC 2000, Association of official analytical chemists, 17th ed. V11. USA.
- Abdel Hamid, M 2019, Feeding fish. Book House of the National Book Organization. Deposit number 25442/2018. Mansoura University, Arab Republic of Egypt, 469 p.
- Abdel Tawwab, M 2008, The preference of the omnivorous-macrophagous. *Tilapia zillii* Gervais to consume a natural free-floating fern, *Azolla pinnata*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 39: 104-112.
- Al Araji, AAAN 2020, Development and use of *Azolla* spp. as a partial substitute for soybean meal and its effect on the growth and biochemical characteristics of *Cyprinus carpio* L. common carp. Master's thesis, University of Mosul, Iraq.
- Al-Tai, NTT 2010, Analytical study of the environment and production of duckweed, *Lemna* spp. Used in wastewater treatment. PhD thesis, College of Agriculture, University of Baghdad, Iraq.
- Anitha, KC, Rajeshwari, YB, Prasanna, SB & Shilpa Shree, J 2016, Nutritive evaluation of Azolla as livestock feed. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Science*, 4: 670-674.
- Antoine, T, Carraro, S, Micha, JC & Van Hove, C 1986, Comparative appetency for Azolla of *Cichlasoma* and *Oreochromis* (tilapia). *Aquaculture*, 53: 95-99.
- Asimi, OA, Khan, IA, Bhat, TA & Husain, N 2018, Duckweed (*Lemna minor*) as a plant protein source in the diet of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) fingerlings. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7: 42-45.
- Aslam, S, Zuberi, A & Nazir, A 2017, Effect of duckweed by replacing soybean in fish feed on growth performance of Grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) and Silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*). *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*, 5: 278-282.
- Crockford, T & Johnston, IA 1990, Temperature acclimation and the expression of contractile protein isoforms in the skeletal muscles of the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). *Journal of comparative physiology B*, 160: 23-30.
- Cruz Velásquez, Y, Kijora, C, Vergara Hernández, W & Schulz, C 2014, On-farm evaluation of *Cachama blanca* and Nile tilapia fed fermented aquatic plants in a polyculture. *Orinoquia suplemento*, 18: 269-277.
- Das, M, Rahim, F, Hossain, M 2018, Evaluation of fresh *Azolla pinnata* as a low-cost supplemental feed for Thai Silver Barb *Barbonymus gonionotus*. *Fishes*, 3:15.
- Dorothy, MS, Raman, S, Nautiyal, V, Singh, K, Yogananda, T & Kamei, M 2018, Use of potential plant leaves as ingredient in fish feed-a review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7: 112-125.
- Duncan, DB 1955, Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.
- El Sayed, AF 1992, Effects of substituting fish meal with *Azolla pinnata* in practical diets for fingerling and adult Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture Research*, 23: 167-173.
- Fasakin, AE & Balogun, AM 1998, Evaluation of the dried water fern (*Azolla pinnata*) as a replacer of soybean dietary components for *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings. *Journal of Aquaculture in the Tropics*, 13: 57-64.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) 1981, Report of the symposium on new developments in the utilization of the heated effluents in the circulation system for intensive aquaculture Stavanger. Rome, Italy, 29-30.

- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) 2018, The state of world fisheries and aquaculture: Meeting the sustainable development goals. Rome, License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO: 210 p.
- Gerking, SD 1971, Influence of rate of feeding and body weight on protein metabolism of bluegill sunfish. *Physiological Zoology*, 44: 9-19.
- Gupta, MV, Dey, MM & Penman, D 2005, Importance of Carp genetic resources. In: DJ, Penman, MV, Gupta & MM, Dey (Eds.). Carp genetic resources for aquaculture in Asia. World Fish Centre Technical Report 65, Penang, Malaysia: World Fish Centre, pp. 1-5.
- Hassan, HF & Dalia, S 2016, Mesopotamian fish parasites. Fuzuli Press - Kirkuk, 1st Edition, 251 p.
- Herawati, VE, Pinandoyo, P, Darmanto, Y, Rismaningsih, N & Radjasa, OK 2020, The effect of fermented duckweed (*Lemna minor*) in feed on growth and nutritional quality of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, 21.
- Hong, KJ, Lee, CH & Kim, SW 2004, *Aspergillus oryzae* GB-107 fermentation improves nutritional quality of food soybeans and feed soybean meals. *Journal of Medicinal Food*, 7: 430-435.
- Hügel, S 2020, Duckweed and Azolla as livestock feed for improving resource efficiency and nutritional quality. Technische Universität Hamburg.
- Jobling, M & Koskela, J 1996, Inter-individual variation in feeding and growth in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* during restricted feeding and in a subsequent period of compensatory growth. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 49: 658-667.
- Micha, JC, Antoine, T, Wery, P & Van Hove, C 1988, Growth, ingestion capacity, comparative appetency and biochemical composition of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Tilapia rendalli* fed with azolla. In R. S. V. Pullin, T. Bhukaswan, K. Tonguthai, & J. L. Maclean (Eds.), Second International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture. ICLARM Conference Proceedings, Department of Fisheries, Bangkok, pp: 347-355.
- Mohanty, BP, Mahanty, A, Ganguly, S, Mitra, T, Karunakaran, D & Anandan, R 2017, Nutritional composition of food fishes and their importance in providing food and nutritional security. *Food Chemistry*.
- Mohapatra, SB & Patra, AK 2013, Effect of partial replacement of fishmeal with duck weed (*Lemna minor*) feed on the growth performance of *Cyprinus carpio* fry. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 4: 34-37.
- Olvera Novoa, MA, Campos, GS, Sabido, MG & Palacios, CAM 1990, The use of alfalfa leaf protein concentrates as a protein source in diets for tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*). *Aquaculture*, 90: 291-302.
- Pitcher, TJ & Hart, PJB 1982, Fisheries Ecology. London and Canberra; Croom Helm Ltd. (American edition): The Avi Publishing Co. Inc. Westport, Conn. 414 p.
- Sahu, TSP 2006, Use of freshwater aquatic plants as a substitute of fishmeal in the diet of *Labeo rohita* fry. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 1: 126-135.
- Sajid, M, Noor, K, Khalid, JI, Muhammad, A & Anjum K 2016, Evaluation of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) supplemented diets on the growth, digestibility and histology of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) fingerlings. *Journal of Applied Animal Research*, 46: 24-28.
- Santiago, CB, Aldaba, MB, Reyes, OS & Laron, MA 1988, Response of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fry to diets containing Azolla meal. Proceedings of 2nd International Symposium on Tilapia Aquaculture, Mar. 16-20, Bangkok, Thailand, pp: 377-382.
- Sithara, K & Kamalaveni, K 2008, Formulation of low-cost feed using Azolla as a protein supplement and its influence on feed utilization in fishes. *Current Biotica*, 2: 212-219.
- Uten, F 1978, Standard methods and terminology in fin fish nutrition from: Proceeding of World Symposium On Finfish Nutrition and Fish Feed Technology. Hamburg, 20-23 June, Vol. 11, Berlin.
- Wee, KL & Shu, SW 1989, The nutritive value of boiled full-fat soybean meal in pelleted feed for Nile tilapia. *Aquaculture*, 81: 303-314.
- Yılmaz, E, Akyurt, İ & Günel, G 2004, Use of duckweed, *Lemna minor*, as a protein feedstuff in practical diets for common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, fry. *Turkish journal of fisheries and aquatic sciences*, 4.

Bibliographic information of this paper for citing:

J. Kamil, M, T. Taha, N 2022 Effects of substituting different percentages of Azolla and duckweed as a partial substitute for soybean meal in feeding common carp fish (*Cyprinus carpio* L.). *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 20: 593-601.